<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/532,348	AYGEN, SITKE	
	Art Onit	
NAVIN NATNITHITHADHA	3735	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Re	view filed 2 Marc	th 2011.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Freason(s):</li> </ol>	Request is improper a	nd a conference	will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has☐ The request does not inc☐ A proposed amendment☐ Other:	lude reasons why a re	eview is appropri	ate.
The time period for filing a responthe mail date of the last Office co			late of the Notice of Appeal or from as been received.
held. The application remains units required to submit an appeal bound brief will be reset to be one mont running from the receipt of the no	der appeal because the rief in accordance with from mailing this depution of appeal, which are CFR 1.136 based to the control of the control	here is at least or h 37 CFR 41.37. cision, or the bal ever is greater. F	e-Appeal Brief conference has been ne actual issue for appeal. Applicant The time period for filing an appeal ance of the two-month time period urther, the time period for filing of the e of this decision or the receipt date
The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-5</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from co	·	laim(s) is as follo	ws:
3. Allowable application – A Allowance will be mailed. Prosec applicant at this time.			
4. ☐ <b>Reopen Prosecution</b> – A of action will be mailed. No further			
All participants:			
(1) <u>NAVIN NATNITHITHADHA</u> .		(3) <u>CHARLES MARMOR, II</u> .	
(2) <u>TOM HUGHES</u> .		(4)	
/Navin Natnithithadha/ Examiner, Art Unit 3735	/Tom Hughes/ TQAS, TC 3700		/Charles A. Marmor, II/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3735